IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION

)	
)	
)	
)	No. 2:12-CV-04269-NKL
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
))))))))))))))))))))

ORDER

Defendant Armstrong Teasdale, LLP moves to exclude testimony of Plaintiffs' expert, Geoffrey Hazard, concerning the conduct of Armstrong Teasdale. Doc. 428. For the reasons explained below, the motion is denied without prejudice.

Plaintiffs filed a "Designation of Rule 23 Expert," Doc. 73, naming Hazard, and a copy of Hazard's expert report, Doc. 73-1. Plaintiffs subsequently cited Hazard's expert report in their suggestions in opposition, Doc. 128-36, to Armstrong Teasdale's motion for summary judgment against Plaintiff Cromeans on the attorney malpractice, negligent misrepresentation, and unjust enrichment claims, Doc. 93. On November 5, 2013, the Court granted summary judgment to Armstrong Teasdale on the attorney malpractice and negligent misrepresentation claims, Doc. 170, and the Court has now denied Plaintiffs' motion to vacate that partial grant of summary judgment. The deadline to file dispositive motions passed in June 2014. Docs. 306, 331.

Plaintiffs have represented to Armstrong Teasdale that their use of Professor Hazard's testimony is relevant only to their attorney malpractice claim and to any motion

for summary judgment on that claim. Docs. 450, p. 3 (Plaintiffs' suggestions in

opposition to Armstrong Teasdale's motion to exclude) and 450-1 (e-mail from

Plaintiffs' counsel to Armstrong Teasdale's counsel). Because the Court denied

Plaintiffs' motion to vacate the grant of summary judgment to Armstrong Teasdale on the

attorney malpractice claim, no motion for summary judgment on an attorney malpractice

claim is pending, and the deadline for filing summary judgment motions passed,

Armstrong Teasedale's motion to exclude [Doc. 428] is denied without prejudice.

s/ Nanette K. Laughrey
NANETTE K. LAUGHREY
United States District Judge

Dated: October 20, 2014 Jefferson City, Missouri